

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,994	10/070,994 03/14/2002		Petrus Johannes Van Geijlswijk	Q68639 6466		
23373	7590	05/25/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUI			MAYES, MELVIN C			
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVA	NIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, D	C 20037	1734			

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>		_(
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
,	Office Action Summary	10/070,994		VAN GEIJLSWIJK, PI JOHANNES	ETRUS			
·		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Melvin Curt		1734				
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commur ply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	:ss			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to read Any reply recommended.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this common d for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 d for reply is specified above, the maximum seply within the set or extended period for reply ecceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statut tatutory period will apply and will will by statute, cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>30 January 2004</u>						
2a)⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
•								
Disposition o	of Claims							
4a) 5)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	-							
10)∐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are blicant may not request that any objected oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accepted or b) [ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been y documents have been s of the priority docume nonal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ints have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	iion No ed in this National St	age			
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	Date	152)			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 ((s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	г акент Аррисацон (FTO-1	<i>02)</i>			

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

(1)

Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: line 13 should read "edge or strip" instead of "edge of strip." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Interpretation

(2)

Claim 9 claims "...clearly intended for carrying out the method according to claim 1" in the preamble. Claim 13 which depends from Claim 9 claims the application head is a brush, which according to the specification is used when the support belt in removed upstream of the application head. For purposes of examination, the device of Claim 9 is not limited to the method of claim 1 (where the support belt is urged towards the object by the application head and where the front side of the label is provided with an adhesive), since Claim 13 depends therefrom and the preamble language is intended use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

(3)

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Art Unit: 1734

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 7 and 9 claim a "non-rounded angle." The specification describes a relatively acute angle and a strip having a "point" (pg. 8, lines 9-16), however, this is not support for deflecting at a non-rounded angle. An acute angle is not inherently "non-rounded" and a "point" is not inherently "non-rounded."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(4)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(5)

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over La Mers 3,450,590.

La Mers discloses an apparatus for applying adhesive coated labels comprising: plunger 32 movable by plunger reciprocating apparatus 34 to apply labels to articles; drive gears for feeding a label web towards a take-up reel 30; and stripper plate having sharp edge 22E over which the label web is pulled (col. 2-3).

By providing a stripper plate having sharp edge over which a label web is pulled, an edge is obviously arranged upstream of the plunger (application head) comprising a contact surface which extends transversely with respect to the direction of movement of the web and provides a non-rounded angle in the path of the web and is capable of removing a support belt from the

Art Unit: 1734

plunger (application head) towards the take-up reel (removal roll) for mechanical reduction of adhesion of labels to the support belt. By the plunger being reciprocal, the distance between the edge (stripper plate) and application head (plunger) is adjustable, as claimed in Claim 11.

(6)

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lundell 5,264,066.

Lundell discloses a tire labeling apparatus comprising: a frame structure 200; an application roller 400 movable relative to the frame structure; a pinch roller assembly 560 for feeding a label carrier web from a supply reel to collection reel; and peel bar to effect a reverse bend of the carrier web to peel labels from the carrier web (col. 13-18).

By providing a peel bar to effect reverse bend of a carrier web carrying labels, the apparatus is obviously provided with an edge upstream of the application roller (head) in the path of a support belt (carrier web) in a stationary manner, in a direction transverse to the direction of movement of the support belt and providing a non-rounded angle in the path to deflect the support belt to obtain local deformation of the support belt and mechanical reduction of adhesion of labels to the support belt, as claimed.

Conclusion

(7)

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1734

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

(8)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1734

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Curti Maye Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM May 21, 2004